

RCEP as Global Trade Transformation Amid Legal, Technological, and Geopolitical Dynamics

Sandra Salwa Afifah¹

Fakultas Hukum Universitas Padjadjaran

¹sandrasalwaa@gmail.com

Abstract

International trade in the twenty-first century has shifted considerably away from classical multilateral mechanisms toward more flexible regional cooperation frameworks. RCEP, covering fifteen Asia-Pacific nations with 2.2 billion people and 29.6% of global GDP, is among the most consequential expressions of this development. Prior studies have examined RCEP through either quantitative-economic or diplomatic lenses separately, leaving an integrative analysis of its structural-legal characteristics, geopolitical dynamics, and technological dimensions largely absent from the literature. This study analyzes the structure, mechanisms, and implications of RCEP for the global trading system, applying a normative-juridical method with a descriptive-qualitative orientation through content analysis of official RCEP documents, WTO publications, and academic literature from 2015 to 2023. Two principal findings emerge. First, RCEP has reoriented international trade governance from a universalist-multilateral model toward a flexibility-based plurilateral one, reflected in its differentiated treatment of member economies, its modified single-undertaking approach, and its consensus-driven legal framework. Second, RCEP functions as a complement to the WTO while serving as a testing ground for multilateral trade reform, responding simultaneously to knowledge-based economic demands through provisions on electronic commerce and cross-border data protection. Geopolitically, the agreement generates structural incentives for sustaining stability among China, Japan, and South Korea. This study concludes that RCEP represents a systemic evolution in international trade governance, offering a paradigm that is more inclusive, adaptive, and responsive to the political-economic complexities of the twenty-first century.

Keywords: *Asia-Pacific Geopolitics, International Trade, RCEP, Regional Trade Architecture, WTO*

RCEP Sebagai Transformasi Perdagangan Global Di Tengah Dinamika Hukum, Teknologi, dan Geopolitik

Abstrak

Perdagangan internasional abad ke-21 mengalami pergeseran signifikan dari mekanisme multilateral klasik menuju kerangka kerja sama regional yang lebih fleksibel. RCEP, yang melibatkan 15 negara Asia-Pasifik dengan cakupan 2,2 miliar penduduk dan 29,6% PDB global, merupakan manifestasi paling signifikan dari pergeseran tersebut. Kajian terdahulu menganalisis RCEP secara parsial, baik dari dimensi ekonomi-kuantitatif maupun diplomatik-regional, sehingga belum ada kajian yang secara integratif memadukan dimensi struktural-hukum, geopolitik, dan teknologi dalam satu kerangka analitis. Penelitian ini bertujuan menganalisis struktur, mekanisme, dan implikasi RCEP terhadap sistem perdagangan global menggunakan metode yuridis-normatif dengan pendekatan deskriptif-kualitatif melalui content analysis atas dokumen resmi RCEP, publikasi WTO, dan literatur akademik periode 2015-2023. Penelitian menghasilkan dua temuan utama. Pertama, RCEP menggeser paradigma perdagangan internasional dari model universalis-multilateral menuju model plurilateral berbasis fleksibilitas, yang tercermin dari mekanisme diferensiasi tingkat pembangunan, modifikasi single undertaking, dan kerangka hukum adaptif berbasis konsensus. Kedua, RCEP berposisi komplementer terhadap WTO sekaligus berfungsi sebagai laboratorium reformasi perdagangan multilateral, sambil merespons ekonomi berbasis pengetahuan melalui ketentuan perdagangan elektronik dan perlindungan data lintas batas. Secara geopolitik, RCEP menciptakan insentif struktural bagi stabilitas hubungan China-Jepang-Korea Selatan. Penelitian ini menyimpulkan bahwa RCEP merepresentasikan evolusi sistemik tata kelola perdagangan internasional yang lebih inklusif, adaptif, dan responsif terhadap kompleksitas global abad ke-21.

Kata Kunci: *Arsitektur Perdagangan Regional, Geopolitik Asia-Pasifik, Perdagangan Internasional, RCEP, WTO*

Introduction

International trade dynamics in the twenty-first century have undergone a fundamental transformation, marked by the emergence of increasingly complex and interdependent multilateral and regional trade agreements. Economic globalization has compelled states to construct frameworks of trade cooperation that are more responsive, adaptive, and strategically oriented. Among the most significant manifestations of this phenomenon is the establishment of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP), a trade initiative involving fifteen countries across the Asia-Pacific region. The historical formation of RCEP cannot be separated from the geopolitical and economic shifts that followed the 2008 global financial crisis. The diminishing effectiveness of traditional multilateral trade mechanisms and the prolonged deadlock within World Trade Organization (WTO) negotiations prompted Asia-Pacific states to pursue more dynamic alternatives for economic cooperation. Countries such as China, Japan, South Korea, Australia, New Zealand, and the ten ASEAN

member states came to regard RCEP as a strategic instrument for deepening regional economic integration. The significance of RCEP lies in its unprecedented scale and complexity. The agreement covers a combined population exceeding 2.2 billion people, accounting for approximately 29.6 percent of global gross domestic product (GDP).¹ On these grounds, RCEP is not merely a conventional trade agreement; rather, it constitutes a new economic architecture with the capacity to reshape the structure of international trade.²

A number of prior studies have examined RCEP from varied analytical perspectives. Petri and Plummer employed a Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) approach to measure the quantitative economic impact of RCEP on trade flows within the Asia-Pacific region.³ Das, for her part, analyzed ASEAN's negotiating position within the RCEP framework and its implications for ASEAN-China economic relations.⁴ While both studies offer valuable contributions, each

¹ "Naskah Akademik Rancangan Undang-Undang Tentang Pengesahan Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (Peretujuan Kemitraan Ekonomi Komprehensif Regional)," https://bphn.go.id/data/documents/hasil_penyelarasan_na_ruu_tentang_pengesahan_rcep.pdf.

² Mohamad Zreik, "The Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) for the Asia-Pacific Region and World," *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 40, no. 1 (February 29, 2024): 57–75, [https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-02-2022-](https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-02-2022-0035/FULL/PDF)

0035/FULL/PDF.

³ Peter A. Petri and Michael G. Plummer, "East Asia Decouples from the United States: Trade War, COVID-19, and East Asia's New Trade Blocs | PIIE," *Peterson Institute for International Economics*, 20, June 2020, <https://www.piie.com/publications/working-papers/2020/east-asia-decouples-united-states-trade-war-covid-19-and-east>.

⁴ Sanchita Basu Das, "RCEP: Going Beyond ASEAN+1 FTAs," *ISEAS Perspective*

addresses a single dimension of analysis in isolation, whether quantitative-economic or diplomatic-regional, without incorporating the legal, geopolitical, and technological dimensions into a unified analytical framework. Accordingly, a substantial academic gap remains: no existing study has undertaken an integrative analysis of RCEP's structural-legal characteristics, geopolitical dynamics, and technological transformation within a single, comprehensive framework. This article seeks to fill that gap through a multi-perspectival approach, aimed at understanding RCEP as a systemic transformation in the governance of global trade.

The primary objective of this study is to examine the structure, mechanisms, and implications of RCEP for the international trading system, through a comprehensive approach that integrates perspectives from economics, international law, and international relations. This research aims to provide a thorough mapping of RCEP's position and role within the global order. On this basis, the study addresses two central questions: first, how do the structural characteristics of RCEP influence the global trading system; and second, how does RCEP navigate the challenges and opportunities present within the WTO framework, and what role do

technological advancement and innovation play in its implementation.

Methodology

This study employs a descriptive method grounded in a juridical-normative approach as its primary framework. The juridical-normative research method is a form of legal library research conducted by examining pre-existing bibliographic materials or secondary data.⁵ The data collection instrument used in this study consists of a literature review, drawing upon legal textbooks, law journals, prior research findings, positive international law, and scholarly expert opinion in this topic.⁶ Data collection was carried out through the systematic analysis of official RCEP documents, with particular attention to the final agreement text signed on 15 November 2020 in Hanoi, Vietnam, along with all accompanying annexes and chapters available through the official ASEAN Secretariat website, WTO publications, academic journals, government policy reports, and other pertinent secondary sources. The data collection were subsequently processed and examined through a qualitative analytical

(Singapore, August 17, 2012), <https://www.iseas.edu.sg/articles-commentaries/iseas-perspective/iseas-perspective-2012/20124-rcep-going-beyond-asean1-ftas/>.

⁵ Soerjono Soekanto, *Penelitian Hukum Normatif:*

Suatu Tinjauan Singkat (Jakarta: Rajawali, 2003), <https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20135943>.

⁶ Peter Mahmud Marzuki, *Penelitian Hukum: Edisi Revisi*, 13th ed. (Jakarta: KENCANA, 2005).

approach, whereby the collected data were analyzed and interrelated to derive meaningful conclusions.⁷ Source selection was conducted systematically, with careful consideration of the credibility, currency, and academic relevance of each source.⁸ This study applies content analysis as its primary data analysis technique, used to explore the text of the RCEP agreement alongside international documents sharing a comparable thematic scope.⁹ The scope of this study is delimited to official documents and international as well as national academic publications from the period 2015 to 2023. This timeframe reflects the negotiation and development phase of RCEP, as well as the broader systemic transformation occurring within the architecture of international trade. Data validation was carried out through an ongoing review process involving specialists from multiple disciplines, including international economists, international trade law scholars, and international relations analysts.

Accordingly, although the principal framework of this study rests on the juridical-normative method, the geopolitical and technological dimensions are analyzed in a complementary manner through an interdisciplinary literature review. This approach yields a more comprehensive

understanding of RCEP both as a legal phenomenon and as a phenomenon of global economic and political significance.

Discussion

Structural Characteristics of RCEP and Their Influence on the Global Trading System

The RCEP agreement marks a significant evolution in the architecture of international trade, one that is no longer governed exclusively by the classical multilateral model. The structure of RCEP reflects the complexity of contemporary economic relations, in which regional and bilateral interests have gained increasing prominence over universal mechanisms.¹⁰

One of the defining structural features of RCEP is its capacity to accommodate the diverse levels of economic development among its member states. Unlike conventional trade agreements, RCEP offers a degree of flexibility that allows both developing and developed economies to participate under terms calibrated to the capacities

⁷ I. Johnny, *Teori & Metodologi Penelitian Hukum Normatif* (Malang: Bayu Media, 2008).

⁸ Philippe Nonet and Philip Selznick, *Law and Society in Transition: Toward Responsive Law* (New York: Routledge, 2017).

⁹ Klaus H Krippendorff, *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology*, 2nd ed. (Sage

Publications, 2004).

¹⁰ Muwalliha Syahdani, "REGIONALISME DALAM REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP (RCEP): SEBUAH PERSPEKTIF," *Jurnal Pena Wimaya* 1, no. 1 (June 10, 2021), <https://doi.org/10.31315/JPW.V1I1.4807>.

of their respective national economies.¹¹ The differentiation mechanism embedded in RCEP permits countries at varying stages of development to implement the agreement's provisions on a graduated basis, a feature that is particularly beneficial for developing nations within the membership.¹² For instance, developing ASEAN member states are granted extended timelines to reduce tariffs and adjust trade regulations, whereas more advanced economies such as China, Japan, and South Korea are expected to advance trade liberalization at a faster pace. A comparative perspective reveals that RCEP does not seek to replace the WTO; rather, it offers an alternative mechanism that is more responsive to the economic dynamics of the Asia-Pacific region. This signals a paradigm shift in international trade governance toward a more complex and multipolar order.¹³

Beyond its economic and legal dimensions, the structural characteristics of RCEP also shape the geopolitical landscape of the Asia-Pacific in ways that cannot be overlooked. The flexible institutional structure

of RCEP enables continuous adaptation to shifting global economic conditions. In contrast to the WTO, which operates through elaborate decision-making procedures, RCEP provides a more equitable and responsive negotiating platform that better reflects the interests of its member states. The structural innovation of RCEP is further evident in its modified single-undertaking approach, under which member states may opt out of certain provisions without forfeiting their overall membership. This model reflects both diplomatic realism and a high degree of economic flexibility.¹⁴

The economic dimension of RCEP extends well beyond a conventional trade agreement. The pact creates the world's largest trading zone, covering approximately 29.6 percent of global gross domestic product and involving more than 2.2 billion people.¹⁵ The economic impact of RCEP is not confined to tariff reduction alone; it also

¹¹ Pankhuri Gaur, "Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) A Trade Agreement among Equals?," *Journal of Asia Pacific Studies* 6, no. 3 (October 1, 2021): 403, <https://openurl.ebsco.com/contentitem/gcd:152911285?sid=ebsco:plink:crawler&id=ebsco:gcd:152911285>.

¹² "REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP (RCEP) AGREEMENT," October 2020.

¹³ Soo Yeon Kim, "Centrality and Community: ASEAN in the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership | Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA)," September 29, 2022, <https://www.eria.org/research/centrality-and-community-asean-in-the-regional-comprehensive-economic-partnership>.

¹⁴ Shiro Armstrong and Peter Drysdale, "The Implications of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) for Asian Regional Architecture | Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA)," October 21, 2022, <https://www.eria.org/research/the-implications-of-the-regional-comprehensive-economic-partnership--rcep--for-asian-regional-architecture>.

¹⁵ "Naskah Akademik Rancangan Undang-Undang Tentang Pengesahan Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (Persetujuan Kemitraan Ekonomi Komprehensif Regional)."

encompasses the harmonization of trade standards, the facilitation of regional supply chains, and the development of economic infrastructure.¹⁶ Notably, the agreement will eliminate tariffs on up to 90 percent of trade transactions among member states, a measure expected to substantially enhance economic efficiency, reduce the cost of goods, and increase intra-regional trade volumes by approximately 12.3 percent by 2035.¹⁷

The potential for economic transformation through RCEP lies in its ability to foster integrated regional value chains. Producers across member states can collaborate more readily, exchange components, and optimize cross-border production processes, thereby strengthening the overall competitiveness of the regional economy.

The geopolitical dimensions of RCEP are equally consequential, particularly with respect to the dynamics between China and other Asia-Pacific states. The agreement may be understood as an instrument of economic diplomacy that enables China to extend its economic influence through cooperative rather

than confrontational means.¹⁸ China's participation in RCEP creates a distinctive integration mechanism through which states with histories of tension can engage constructively on an economic platform. This is illustrated by the cases of Japan and South Korea, both of which can deepen their economic engagement with China within a cooperative framework that yields tangible economic benefits.¹⁹ RCEP is, notably, the first free trade agreement to bring all three of these nations together within a single multilateral structure.²⁰ By uniting the three major Northeast Asian economies under one regional agreement, RCEP establishes a basis for new liberalization commitments and trade rules that deepen both the China-Japan and Japan-South Korea economic relationships.²¹ The geopolitical contribution of RCEP lies in its capacity to bridge the economic interests of states at markedly different levels of development, ranging from advanced economies such as Japan and Australia

¹⁶ "Naskah Akademik Rancangan Undang-Undang Tentang Pengesahan Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (Persetujuan Kemitraan Ekonomi Komprehensif Regional)."

¹⁷ Carmen Estrades et al., "Estimating the Economic Impacts of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership," *Asia and the Global Economy* 3, no. 2 (July 1, 2023): 100060, <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGLOBE.2023.100060>.

¹⁸ Yani Guo et al., "Natural Resources Extraction of RCEP Trade Bloc: Examining Geopolitical Risk and Economic Situation," *Resources Policy* 86 (October 1, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104227>.

¹⁹ Shiro Armstrong and Peter Drysdale, "The Economic Cooperation Potential of East Asia's RCEP Agreement," *East Asian Economic Review* 26, no. 1 (March 31, 2022): 3–25, <https://doi.org/10.11644/KIEP.EAER.2022.26.1.403>.

²⁰ Armstrong and Drysdale, "The Economic Cooperation Potential of East Asia's RCEP Agreement."

²¹ Pramila Crivelli and Stefano Inama, "A Preliminary Assessment of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership," ADB Briefs, no. 206 (January 2022), <https://doi.org/10.22617/BRF220009-2>.

to developing nations across Southeast Asia. This demonstrates the agreement's ability to generate consensus among actors with divergent preferences and capabilities.

The legal framework of RCEP constitutes a significant innovation in international trade. The agreement develops regulatory mechanisms that are considerably more sophisticated than those found in earlier trade agreements, with a particular emphasis on cross-border standardization and harmonization. The legal dimensions of RCEP encompass comprehensive provisions covering investment, intellectual property rights, electronic commerce, and dispute settlement mechanisms. The distinctiveness of this legal approach lies in the flexibility afforded to implementation, taking into account the diversity of legal systems among member states. The dispute settlement mechanism within RCEP is designed to be more adaptive than its WTO counterpart, enabling member states to resolve disputes through bilateral negotiation, regional mediation, and arbitration in a manner that is faster and more efficient.

The regulatory complexity of RCEP is reflected in its provisions governing digital supply chains, foreign investment protection, and cross-border product standardization, all of which reflect the broader evolution of international trade law. RCEP's legal

innovations do not merely regulate trade; they also establish a more comprehensive framework for economic cooperation, signaling a shift from rigid legalism toward a more responsive legal model. The significance of RCEP's legal framework lies in its ability to accommodate the interests of diverse member states while maintaining high standards of trade governance.²²

RCEP's Engagement with the Challenges and Opportunities within the WTO Framework, and the Role of Technology and Innovation in Its Implementation

The most significant opportunity presented by RCEP is its potential to serve as a new model for economic diplomacy, one capable of inspiring reform within the WTO. The flexibility and accommodative capacity of RCEP may serve as a reference point for efforts to modernize the existing multilateral trade regime. The complexity of implementing RCEP alongside WTO obligations raises a number of strategic questions, including how a regional agreement of this nature can bridge the interests of states at different stages of economic development, and to what extent RCEP's mechanisms can serve as

²² Warni Munawarah Pua Saba, "Tinjauan Yuridis Penindakan Instrument Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) Dalam Regional Comprehensive Economic

Partnership (RCEP)" (Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim, 2022), <http://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/44323/3/18220144.pdf>.

a catalyst for transforming the international trading system.

The principal challenge in aligning RCEP with the WTO lies in their differing philosophical orientations toward trade governance. The WTO constructs its system on the principles of universalism and non-discrimination, whereas RCEP offers differentiation and flexibility that take into account the economic diversity of its member states. The potential for institutional transformation offered by RCEP should not be underestimated. The agreement opens space for substantive debate regarding the need for fundamental reform of the global trade architecture, which has long been shaped by the one-size-fits-all approach characteristic of WTO governance. The legal dimensions of RCEP make a meaningful contribution to developing a more responsive framework for international trade law. Its more flexible, consensus-based dispute settlement mechanism may serve as an alternative model for a WTO system that has frequently been criticized for its rigidity. The interaction between RCEP and the WTO reflects the complexity of contemporary global economic governance. The agreement does not seek to replace the WTO; rather, it offers a complementary mechanism with the potential to enrich the multilateral trading system.²³

The technological and innovation

dimensions of RCEP represent a central feature of its overall architecture. The agreement explicitly recognizes the role of digital transformation in driving regional economic growth. Dedicated provisions address electronic commerce, cross-border data protection, and cooperation in information technology. This comprehensive approach distinguishes RCEP from conventional trade agreements, which have traditionally focused on the movement of physical goods. The technology cooperation mechanisms within RCEP include the exchange of best practices, the development of digital infrastructure, and the facilitation of investment in the technology sector, all of which have the potential to generate an integrated regional innovation ecosystem. The digital dimensions of RCEP go beyond trade regulation; they actively promote a knowledge-based economic transformation by providing a cooperative framework that supports startup development, joint research, and technology transfer.

The primary challenge in implementing the technological dimension of RCEP lies in the uneven levels of digital development across member states. In response, the

²³ Mariam Tahir and Ashfaq Ahmad, "A Shift of Global Political Economy to Asia: Case Study of RCEP,"

Global Economics Review 7, no. 1 (March 30, 2022): 20–30, [https://doi.org/10.31703/GER.2022\(VII-I\).03](https://doi.org/10.31703/GER.2022(VII-I).03).

agreement adopts a graduated and flexible approach to implementation. The potential for technological transformation through RCEP positions it as a catalyst for digital economic integration across the Asia-Pacific region and as a potential model for a new form of global innovation cooperation.²⁴

Taken together, the three analytical dimensions examined in this discussion, namely the structural-economic characteristics, geopolitical dynamics, and legal framework innovations of RCEP, demonstrate that the agreement is not merely an accumulation of diplomatic compromises. It is, rather, a deliberately constructed trade architecture designed to address the limitations of the existing multilateral system. This renders RCEP relevant not only to the Asia-Pacific region, but also as a reference point for broader reform of global trade governance.

Conclusion

Based on the analysis conducted across the structural characteristics, geopolitical dynamics, legal framework, and technological dimensions of RCEP, this study arrives at two principal conclusions that directly address the research questions set out at the outset.

First, the structural characteristics of RCEP represent a discernible shift in the prevailing paradigm of international trade, moving away from the universalist-

multilateral model toward a plurilateral model grounded in flexibility. This shift is reflected in three structural innovations that distinguish RCEP from conventional trade agreements: a differentiation mechanism that accommodates the varying levels of economic development among member states; a modified single-undertaking approach that permits selective participation without nullifying overall membership; and an adaptive legal framework supported by a consensus-based dispute settlement mechanism. Collectively, these innovations produce a trade architecture capable of accommodating the interests of advanced economies such as Japan and Australia alongside developing nations across Southeast Asia within a single common platform, an achievement that has long proved elusive under the WTO's one-size-fits-all approach.

Second, in its relationship with the WTO and in confronting the imperatives of technological transformation, RCEP occupies a position that is simultaneously complementary and innovative. RCEP does not position itself as a rival to the WTO; rather, it serves as empirical evidence that a mega-regional trade

²⁴ Robby Aulia Hidayat, "Penerapan Prinsip (MFN) Dalam Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Dan Dampaknya Terhadap Kebijakan Perdagangan

Indonesia," *Recital Review* 6, no. 2 (July 22, 2024): 254–71, <https://doi.org/10.22437/RR.V6I2.35989>.

agreement can function as a laboratory for innovation, generating reform models applicable to the broader multilateral trading system. The flexibility of RCEP's mechanisms and its differentiation-based approach offer a concrete reference point for efforts to modernize the WTO regime, which has long been mired in institutional stagnation. At the same time, the integration of electronic commerce provisions, cross-border data protection rules, and digital infrastructure cooperation within RCEP affirms that the agreement was designed not solely for the regulation of physical goods trade, but to respond to the realities of an expanding knowledge-based economy. This digital dimension renders RCEP relevant well beyond the horizon of its short-term implementation.

From the perspective of international relations, RCEP also carries geopolitical significance that warrants serious attention. By bringing together China, Japan, and South Korea, three major economic powers not previously bound by a common bilateral trade agreement, RCEP establishes structural incentives for sustaining stability in trilateral relations through economic interdependence. Within this framework, RCEP may be understood as an instrument of economic diplomacy that moderates the dynamics of power in the Asia-Pacific region, though the extent to which this geopolitical influence materializes in concrete terms remains a question that merits further empirical investigation.

RCEP, therefore, is not merely a regional alternative to the WTO. It represents a systemic evolution in the governance of international trade, one that offers a new paradigm characterized by a more inclusive, adaptive, and politically responsive approach to the economic complexities of the twenty-first century.

References

- Armstrong, Shiro, and Peter Drysdale. "The Economic Cooperation Potential of East Asia's RCEP Agreement." *East Asian Economic Review* 26, no. 1 (March 31, 2022): 3–25. <https://doi.org/10.11644/KIEP.EA.ER.2022.26.1.403>.
- . "The Implications of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) for Asian Regional Architecture | Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA)," October 21, 2022. <https://www.eria.org/research/the-implications-of-the-regional-comprehensive-economic-partnership--rcep--for-asian-regional-architecture>.
- Crivelli, Pramila, and Stefano Inama. "A Preliminary Assessment of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership," ADB Briefs, no. 206 (January 2022). <https://doi.org/10.22617/BRF220009-2>.
- Das, Sanchita Basu. "RCEP: Going Beyond ASEAN+1 FTAs." *ISEAS Perspective*. Singapore, August 17, 2012. <https://www.iseas.edu.sg/articles-commentaries/iseas-perspective/perspective/iseas-perspective-2012/20124-rcep-going-beyond-asean1-ftas/>.

- Estrades, Carmen, Maryla Maliszewska, Israel Osorio-Rodarte, and Maria Seara e Pereira. "Estimating the Economic Impacts of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership." *Asia and the Global Economy* 3, no. 2 (July 1, 2023): 100060. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AGLOBE.2023.100060>.
- Gaur, Pankhuri. "Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) A Trade Agreement among Equals?" *Journal of Asia Pacific Studies* 6, no. 3 (October 1, 2021): 403. <https://openurl.ebsco.com/contentitem/gcd:152911285?sid=ebsco:plink:crawler&id=ebsco:gcd:152911285>.
- Guo, Yani, Haixia Zheng, Yun Zeng, Wei Fan, Bayan Albahooth, and Rubaiyat Ahsan Bhuiyan. "Natural Resources Extraction of RCEP Trade Bloc: Examining Geopolitical Risk and Economic Situation." *Resources Policy* 86 (October 1, 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2023.104227>.
- Hidayat, Robby Aulia. "Penerapan Prinsip (MFN) Dalam Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Dan Dampaknya Terhadap Kebijakan Perdagangan Indonesia." *Recital Review* 6, no. 2 (July 22, 2024): 254–71. <https://doi.org/10.22437/RR.V6I2.35989>.
- Johnny, I. *Teori & Metodologi Penelitian Hukum Normatif*. Malang: Bayu Media, 2008.
- Kim, Soo Yeon. "Centrality and Community: ASEAN in the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership | Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA)," September 29, 2022. <https://www.eria.org/research/centrality-and-community-asean-in-the-regional-comprehensive-economic-partnership>.
- Krippendorff, Klaus H. *Content Analysis: An Introduction to Its Methodology*. 2nd ed. Sage Publications, 2004.
- Marzuki, Peter Mahmud. *Penelitian Hukum: Edisi Revisi*. 13th ed. Jakarta: KENCANA, 2005.
- "Naskah Akademik Rancangan Undang-Undang Tentang Pengesahan Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership Agreement (Peretujuan Kemitraan Ekonomi Komprehensif Regional)," accessed April 7, 2026. https://bphn.go.id/data/documents/hasil_penyelarasan_na_ruu_tentang_pengesahan_rcep.pdf.
- Nonet, Philippe, and Philip Selznick. *Law and Society in Transition: Toward Responsive Law*. New York: Routledge, 2017.
- Petri, Peter A., and Michael G. Plummer. "East Asia Decouples from the United States: Trade War, COVID-19, and East Asia's New Trade Blocs | PIIIE." *Peterson Institute for International Economics*. 20, June 2020. <https://www.piie.com/publications/working-papers/2020/east-asia-decouples-united-states-trade-war-covid-19-and-east>.
- "REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP (RCEP) AGREEMENT," October 2020.
- Saba, Warni Munawarah Pua. "Tinjauan Yuridis Peniadaan Instrument Investor-State Dispute Settlement (ISDS) Dalam Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP)." Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim, 2022. <http://etheses.uin-malang.ac.id/44323/3/18220144.pdf>.
- Soekanto, Soerjono. *Penelitian Hukum Normatif: Suatu Tinjauan Singkat*. Jakarta: Rajawali, 2003. <https://lib.ui.ac.id/detail?id=20135943>.
- Syahdani, Muwalliha. "REGIONALISME DALAM REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP (RCEP): SEBUAH PERSPEKTIF." *Jurnal Pena Wimaya* 1, no. 1 (June 10, 2021). <https://doi.org/10.31315/JPW.V1I1.4807>.
- Tahir, Mariam, and Ashfaq Ahmad. "A

- Shift of Global Political Economy to Asia: Case Study of RCEP.” *Global Economics Review* 7, no. 1 (March 30, 2022): 20–30.
[https://doi.org/10.31703/GER.2022\(VII-I\).03](https://doi.org/10.31703/GER.2022(VII-I).03).
- Wicaksono, Katon, and Tu Yuanfen. “Dynamics of Indonesia’s Export to RCEP Member Countries.” *Jurnal Global Ilmiah* 1, no. 1 (September 23, 2023): 1–7.
<https://doi.org/10.55324/JGI.V1I1.1>.
- Zreik, Mohamad. “The Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) for the Asia–Pacific Region and World.” *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences* 40, no. 1 (February 29, 2024): 57–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JEAS-02-2022-0035/FULL/PDF>.